JIG
TRANSCRIPTION
Tuesday 15 May 2012 at 1200 UTC

Note: The following is the output of transcribing from an audio recording of the JIG meeting on Tuesday 15 May 2012 at 1200 UTC. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record. The audio is also available at: http://audio.icann.org/gnso/gnso-jig-20120515-en.mp3
On page:http://gnso.icann.org/calendar#may
(transcripts and recordings are found on the calendar page)

Attendees:
Edmon Chung, RySG, Co-Chair
Rafik Dammak, NCSG
Jonathan Shea
Jian Zhang, NomCom Appointee, Co?Chair
Mirjana Tasic

ICANN Staff:
Bart Boswinkel
Glen de Saint Gery
Gisella Gruber

Apologies:
Jonathan Shea
Fahd Batayneh, .jo
Avri Doria, NCSG (Observer)
Sarmad Hussein

Gisella Gruber-White: ...to everyone. On today's JIG call on Tuesday the 15th of May we have (Miriana Tussik), Rafik Dammak, Chris Dillon, Edmon Chung and Jian Zhang. From staff we have Bart Boswinkel, Glen de Saint Géry and myself, Gisella Gruber.

Apologies noted today from Jonathan Shea, Fahd Batayneh and Sarmad Hussein. If I could also please just remind everyone to state their names

when speaking for transcript purposes. Also if you do have a noisy line please press star 6 to mute and star 6 to unmute again. Thank you, over to you, Edmon. Edmon?

Edmon Chung: Sorry, I was - had myself on mute. Thank you, Gisella. And thank you everyone for joining. So I guess I sent around a very brief proposed agenda last week. Sorry - apologies for mixing up the time. But in any case we'll - I guess for the time being to folks on the Universal Acceptance...

((Crosstalk))

Edmon Chung: ...TLDs item. And I wonder if - since Jonathan is not here I wonder if we might have any chance to talk about the VIP comments. But in any case we'll wait for that.

But I wanted to bring up one item. I just - before we get on - is I wonder if anyone from staff - I note that Bart is also here - I'm not sure whether there has already been any next steps on the VIP project or any proposed next steps. I was just wondering if there's any update there.

Bart Boswinkel: Edmon, this is Bart. I'm not aware but I'll check. I have to say I haven't followed the VIP stuff project. So...

Edmon Chung: Okay.

Bart Boswinkel: ...I'll check and let the group know.

Edmon Chung: Okay. So in that case we'll have a fairly short agenda today just mainly to finalize the summary of comments. I wonder if we - I note that Bart has sent it around. Perhaps, Bart, you could talk a little bit about that and what the next steps are in finalizing it?

Bart Boswinkel: Yes. So - excuse me. I sent out a first draft. I received comments from Fahd and from Avri, included them and sent a second version around on the 10th of May. And I had, say, some support for this one again from Fahd and one or two others.

So if the working group is comfortable with this summary the next steps will be for me to post it. And this will formally close the public comment period on the initial report on universal acceptance. And, yeah, that's about it. Hello?

Edmon Chung: I'm on mute again, sorry. So - thank you. And I guess before I ask around do you have any - couple points you wanted to highlight from the summary?

Bart Boswinkel: Yes. I think - let me open it up again. First of all, say, there was - I think the main comments in principle there is endorsement of the direction of the JIG, say, having this broad set of issues (unintelligible) these broad set of issues on universal acceptance.

But at the same time especially by the, say, the RISG, they are a bit concerned about, say, the workload it will involve. So what I've stated as a comment from the JIG that it will provide the RISG with some clarification in its next report on what is in scope or what the JIG considers in scope and what need to be addressed, say, by other groups.

Say, and as you may recall in the second email I sent I referred to the Universal Acceptance Project by ICANN staff and, say, Nadia, the team leader of that project is also on the email list. So these are more or less the highlights.

Edmon Chung: Thank you, Bart. And with that personally I'm very comfortable with the summary. I think it reflects the received comments. I don't have any further comments on it. And I think this has been circulating for quite some time so I think it's fairly safe. But before that I guess anyone on the call wants to add anything or have any thoughts about the summary?

Jian, what do you think? Are you - do you think we should be comfortable enough to complete this and have Bart post it as a final summary? Jian? Still with us? Jian? Anyone else hear me or...

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah, I hear you.

Edmon Chung: Okay.

Chris Dillon: I can hear, Edmon.

Edmon Chung: Oh okay thank you.

Jian Zhang: I can hear you.

Edmon Chung: Oh okay, Jian. So any further thoughts on the summary that Bart circulated? If not we should move forward and have that posted and close the comment period.

Jian Zhang: Yeah, I agree. I don't have any comments.

Edmon Chung: Okay so it seems that we - I think we have a fairly - we're in a fairly comfortable position with the note being circulated for quite some time and a couple of I guess minor edits. I think Avri mentioned a couple points that Bart responded...

Bart Boswinkel: They've been included.

Edmon Chung: Right. So let's move forward with the - with that as the final then close the comment process.

Bart Boswinkel: Yes. Edmon, as a next step what I'll do is I'll have it posted and I will inform the JIG that it is posted so you can see how it works and so everybody's appraised of what happens.

Edmon Chung: Okay. Actually on that note I - just as a - just as a - I guess a kind of process I'll - why don't I summarize what I just said right now and send it to the list and if nobody objects in the next 24 hours, Bart, please go ahead and post it. That might give I guess a last chance for those on the list who might have been dormant but want to jump up.

Bart Boswinkel: Okay.

Edmon Chung: Yeah.

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah.

Edmon Chung: Okay so, yeah, I'll send a note into the list just noting that. Okay that brings us sort of to the next item which we started talking about in our - I think the meeting before which is well what the next steps are in terms of this particular item. We were waiting for the completion of the comment period and also the summary from the comment period which is now pretty much complete.

And just as a - I guess a quick summary of what we talked about last time was that in terms of what the group may be interested in doing and also taking into account the comments we received perhaps we might - it might be best for this group to focus on a couple of items especially those that relate a little bit more to policies or actions that ICANN can sort of take and focus on those and to provide our recommendations to that.

And also identify perhaps a number of work that we could identify and coordinate or pass on to Nadia's group, as Bart mentioned, which is from staff and looking at universal acceptance as well.

Bart Boswinkel: Edmon, this is Bart.

Edmon Chung: Yes, please go ahead.

Bart Boswinkel: May I suggest to the JIG that, say, that you use - that first of all we schedule again a meeting of the JIG - and I think it's on Monday, but I need to check. But secondly I know Nadia is very keen on having an exchange with the JIG on, say, what she is doing, say, and what the project is doing and explain this and start a dialogue, say, that maybe it's - as a suggestion that you use the opportunity of the Prague meeting because most likely Nadia will be there to coordinate what the JIG will do and what is in - and what is part of the project before entering into drafting mode.

Edmon Chung: Sorry, I was distracted a little bit; another phone sorry. You mentioned about a dialogue with Nadia and especially utilizing the time slot in Prague.

Bart Boswinkel: Yes.

Edmon Chung: Okay so that's (to me). I think that's a great idea. And looking from now to Prague we probably have one or - one more meeting.

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah.

Edmon Chung: Or one at most two...

Bart Boswinkel: Yes.

((Crosstalk))

Edmon Chung: ...meetings before that - conference calls before that.

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah.

Edmon Chung: And I guess it would be - Prague would be a very good opportunity for us to have that dialogue and also to figure out how - which parts, perhaps, we should recommend as, you know, for staff to follow up on and also which parts we could actually provide some recommendations especially the - one or two items that we, you know, discovered along the way in terms of possible policy-oriented aspects.

Bart Boswinkel: Yes. And I think because then you've got the full picture of what the project is about as well.

Edmon Chung: Right. Right. And I wonder, Bart, if you know what the - how the progress is with Nadia and whether she could perhaps join this conference call for our next meeting to at least, you know, share a little bit of idea so we could better prepare for Prague?

Bart Boswinkel: I'll ask her. Say, the problem is probably - and that's a bit of - I think the chances are higher if we could schedule this call a little later but that's again very - yeah, difficult for Jian and others in the Asia-Pacific Region because Nadia is based in MDR. But I'll check with her whether she can join next week's call or the one just before the Prague meeting.

Edmon Chung: Okay. I guess our preference would probably be to keep the time. But if moving it an hour or two would allow Nadia to join I'm certainly open to that.

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah. And if that's the case in order to organize it properly it's probably best not to use next call because maybe that's a good opportunity to get an update on the variant issues project and next steps but use the call right before - or the final call before the Prague meeting to get an update and say what the project is about and then use the Prague meeting for a full dialogue.

Edmon Chung: Okay that sounds like a plan.

Bart Boswinkel: Okay, I'll make a note of it.

Edmon Chung: Any one else have thoughts on that? I mean, just to summarize I guess just logistically perhaps to plan for our next three meetings; two before Prague meeting and also the Prague meeting - next one to talk a little bit more about and get some update from the VIP - the one just before Prague to get Nadia on the line as well and plan a little bit more about this issue about universal acceptance that we're talking about right now and to - we'll use those two meetings to plan for the Prague meeting.

Any thoughts? Agree? Disagree?

Chris Dillon: Edmon, it's Chris Dillon speaking. Yes, I'm firmly in favor of all of that. That sounds like a good plan.

Edmon Chung: Thank you, Chris, for speaking up. I guess with that I'd like to - I know we don't have all our usual suspects on the line today but I'd still like to at least get the discussion started on the - our next report which could - I'm inclined to call it at least the draft final report for the universal acceptance of IDN TLDs.

Just on this particular part I want to get a sense whether others feel comfortable or we - do we want to go with another interim report? My feeling is that, you know, there isn't a whole lot, you know, unless a lot changes with our discussion with Nadia I'm anticipating that there won't be a whole lot that this group would be recommending or following up with directly.

Perhaps, you know, working with the staff team more but not so much recommending directly. So my suggestion would be for us on our next report to call it at least a draft final report. I wonder if people have any thoughts about that? Think that makes sense or - Jian, as my co chair, I guess, do you think that direction - at least that seems to be the direction that we have come to somewhat of an agreement in our last - the previous call.

Bart Boswinkel: Edmon, this is Bart.

Edmon Chung: Yeah.

Bart Boswinkel: I think it's fine. But I think it's a very good suggestion. But, say, before starting on it use the Prague meeting to, yeah, understand what is going on, etcetera, before you start into drafting mode.

Edmon Chung: Okay.

Bart Boswinkel: Because that will, say, that clarifies and then you can substantiate some of the recommendations or why the JIG doesn't take it on, etcetera.

Edmon Chung: Okay. Anyone else have thoughts on this?

Chris Dillon: Edmon, it's Chris Dillon. And, I mean, I'm agreeing really with what I'm hearing. I mean, the one thing I wonder about is actually about the security issues because, you know, it may be that acceptance is not happening very, you know, as quickly as it should do because people are worried for security reasons.

        And so I don't know whether the report may need to highlight that sort of area rather - perhaps rather more than it does. I don't know what you think of that.

Edmon Chung: Thanks, Chris. That's an interesting angle I guess. Could you add a little bit more to that? What do you mean?

Chris Dillon: Well in Costa Rica I met some specialists who, you know, who, you know, who are from organizations like the British Serious Organized Crime Agency. And, you know, they had some quite interesting things to say. And I suppose, you know, looking at, you know, looking at sort of the number of applications for the new gTLDs and things like that.

Then, you know, I mean, personally I think one of the, you know, one of the reasons that things are looking as they are is because there's some amount of conservatism and that is partly coming out of, you know, people just don't know how safe these things are to use. So that's an area I'm getting more and more interested in. And, you know, I don't know whether this report perhaps may want to touch on these security things.

I mean, certainly personally I believe that concern about security is a major problem with acceptance.

Edmon Chung: That's kind of interesting. So you're - am I interpreting it correctly that you're saying that say applications - application providers like Internet applications or Internet infrastructure providers are not supporting IDNs or not fully supporting IDNs because - especially on the TLD level because they view it as a potential security issue?

Chris Dillon: Yes, that's - I mean, it is only a theory but that's what I, you know, that is my impression at the moment.

Edmon Chung: Okay. That's certainly an interesting point of view. And I don't think - well, I mean, I think I don't disagree with that possibility. And I think we should definitely point it out if that's an issue especially if it's more specifically for IDN TLDs versus perhaps other ASCII TLDs. And that seems to be the case as you're describing it. We should definitely spend a little bit of work there.

I'd like to - I'm going to be interested to get a sense of what those are. And if that's, you know, and figure out whether it's more of an excuse where we could recommend again, you know, outreach and those kind of things and awareness campaign or whether there are actual issues that needs to be addressed. So...

Chris Dillon: I think it's probably a combination of both of those things that it's, you know, the - I mean, again a total personal opinion but I think there should be an

awareness campaign very simple. And it would just be, you know, if you cannot read a language do not click on a link in that language because, you know, obviously if you can't read a language you get confused by all sorts of things and there are security problems.

So, you know, I think that there should be a major campaign that's just simply putting that message out.

Edmon Chung: Thanks, Chris. And I think you bring up a fairly interesting topic especially on this concept of security which I think has been very important for the IDN discussion. One of which, you know, there's one part of security where the technology, you know, actually creates a security loophole or some sort.

There's another part where, you know, it's because people mis-read certain texts, which is now part of the - a domain causing them confusion in a way or causing them to go to someplace that they didn't - wasn't intending to go to.

Chris Dillon: Yes, I mean, I would say that we need to look at sort of scamming on both levels. It's scamming on the, you know, both on the domain name level and also scamming people. It's really the two things - two aspects of it.

Edmon Chung: Right. Since you brought it up I wonder if you might be able to perhaps crystallize it a little bit for us on - I guess on two levels; one, to get back to some of the people you talked to and clarify the point which I mentioned whether they felt it was a security issue therefore they were not implementing and, you know, and also the other part where, you know, whether it's just a matter of - sorry, I want to rephrase that.

To clarify on whether it is - you said it's a (mixture) but just want to more clarity on how this group should take it further is one is it is a perception that it's a security - if it's not, if it's a mixture of there is actual security issues can you identify - help identify those especially on the TLD level because that's where we are focusing our work on at least for this particular item.

Chris Dillon: Yes, yes. Okay, I mean, it's very difficult to be definitive until reveal day has happened. I mean, once reveal day has happened we can do all sorts of analyses and be far more accurate. At this stage there's quite a lot of guess work.

Edmon Chung: Sure. But there is - well you mean the new gTLDs. But there are IDN TLDs in the root now for IDN ccTLDs so...

Chris Dillon: Oh yes.

Edmon Chung: ...if there are hard security issues we should bring it up now because, you know, we should at least provide...

Chris Dillon: Well...

Edmon Chung: ..a sense of what it might become.

Chris Dillon: I mean, what I would say is that actually because the character sets that are now involved are far longer than ASCII that's actually one of the big issues. I mean, with ASCII we are very used to the fact that, you know, an upper case I and a lower case L are the same and a zero and an O are dangerously close. We know all about that.

But with the far larger character set, you know, the possibilities for trouble are, you know, just many, many times more. So that, you know, for me that is an actual security issue. And then people will say well okay why hasn't that been a problem with the country codes.

And I would then say oh yes but the country codes we've actually - we've only had a small number and so we've gone very, very slowly and carefully and so there hasn't been much of an actual issue with those. But with very large

numbers of IDNs then, you know, that situation changes. It's no longer a small number it's a major thing.

Edmon Chung: Sure. I think that's a very popular argument for, you know, over the years regarding IDNs and what it's - and the types of security issues that it I guess brings to light. But I'd like to challenge whoever, you know, talks about that and on this particular topic how it relates to the TLD part and why people don't implement it or, you know, place block, you know, whether on - there are security considerations but by blocking all IDN TLDs that's probably not the right way to do it.

Chris Dillon: Well yes and so you see in a sense if work can be done to, you know, I'm basically cooperating with the security people and if we can get to a situation where people feel happy, feel more secure perhaps we educate them, you know, we look into these issues then there is actually a major role to play. And that, you know, that will occur - that will encourage the adoption of the new addresses.

Edmon Chung: I think that's a very good point. It seems like that the ICANN - if I may I guess put words in your mouth is that there - there is a lot of work that ICANN or the ICANN community should do in terms of the materials and stuff because on this even though we think the software providers, the application - the application providers should be doing this they are, you know, there are too many excuse for them not to. And...

((Crosstalk))

Edmon Chung: ...it is this community and this, you know, is in the interest of this community and should be - the work done by this community for a body of material to convince them.

Chris Dillon: Yes. And so the body of material is actually - it's actually - it's a two-pronged attack. It is, you know, strategies for helping people, you know, in this, you

know, the fact that there is a very large character set so that would be one thing but it may be other things saying, you know, if you don't know Japanese do not click on a Japanese address.

You know, it might be that very much the sorts of procedures that or, you know, organizations like the Serious Organized Crime Agency in Britain is, you know, it spends its time educating people how to avoid scams and that sort of thing.

Edmon Chung: That's a good point. So there - you're really pointing to two avenues. One is to - actually two sets of materials. One set of material target towards application providers who, you know, to let them know there are ways to address certain security issues. And one set of materials to end users and to educate them about these things.

And I guess with the - at least $350 million that - from the new gTLDs we have a little bit more money to spend.

((Crosstalk))

Chris Dillon: Well, I mean, that becomes a virtual circle because by spending a little bit of money what you'll actually get is more take up because - I mean, my theory is that just people feel more secure that they will take these up more.

Edmon Chung: No I think that's certainly an element. I wonder if you could either help put some of those into a short summary or a set of points that you could send to the list?

Chris Dillon: I'd be very happy to do that. I mean, obviously before release - before reveal day there is some amount of guesswork involved with this. But, you know, if we can ignore, you know, if we can hope that the guesswork may be roughly right then, you know, I can certainly draft something.

Edmon Chung: Or maybe Bart or Glen or Gisella can tell us the numbers by now.

Bart Boswinkel: Nope.

Edmon Chung: Just kidding.

Chris Dillon: So that...

((Crosstalk))

Edmon Chung: I guess what we are - we are - I think that's certainly a, you know, once we know that the sort of landscape of the new gTLDs that will certainly be another point in - I guess another point of - a data point for us to consider. What I find it - what I would - might find interesting is regardless of what, you know, if it turns out that there are very - there is a low number of IDN TLDs being applied for and what does that mean? And if there's a relatively higher percentage what does that mean?

To me for this particular kind of work - for the work that we are looking at either side happens, you know, we'd say one or two things. If there's very little then we can say that, you know, because people are not prepared - you know, there is not enough implementation - like not enough support therefore there's less applicants interested. And if there's more then our point - I guess our view would be that this going, you know, that it's more imminent in terms of the need.

Chris Dillon: I see what you mean, Edmon. Certainly some part of it may be because, you know, there may be parts of the world which have not applied in which case that's another - that's really another phenomenon

Edmon Chung: Sure, certainly. So I guess with that I'd just - I think this is certainly a point that it's important. And I think we have spent a lot of time on this in our last report. So this is certainly an asset that we want to highlight. And we probably

should bring it up in Prague so that we could further discuss this issue as well.

So much appreciated if you could put some of that thought and send it to the list and see how it goes.

Chris Dillon: I will do that.

Edmon Chung: Thank you. I guess with that and besides that I wonder if anyone else have suggestions in terms of the material - the parts that we should include in our next report. The current suggestion, which we (unintelligible) last time is to focus more in terms of the recommendation; to focus more on if you look back at the initial report, Number 1 and Number - well mainly Number 1.

And then for the other parts to provide a summary of those and pass it on with some suggestions to staff - to the staff team for next steps. And just as a reminder the Number 1 is about potential policy aspects which we could provide some recommendation.

The only two items that so far we have identified as potential policy type items is one of which is to request that IDN gTLDs and IDN ccTLDs at least in their own systems to support IDN TLDs - other IDN TLDs not just their IDN TLDs but universal acceptance of IDN TLDs.

And the other part is to raise not so much as a - a substantive recommendation but at least raise the point that budgets should be allocated towards this type of project. But I guess that's - part of that is already there but I think we should add to that and add a support to that direction.

So these were the only two. I wonder if anyone has any thoughts on other types of - what ICANN can try to develop as policies for registries, registrars or whatever ICANN can directly influence. Any thoughts? Any?

Hearing none I guess I'll put this question back to the list as well. And we have come back to this - I'm coming back to this because if we are going to make any recommendations we, you know, that could have policy implications we should identify them and put it out.

Just on that note one of the things that the group, you know, once we identify those one of the things that this group I think should consider as well is in our recommendations, you know, if let's say we are recommending that the registries should at least accept - at least be compliant with the universal acceptance of IDN TLDs, which is not a requirement, for example, in the Applicant Guidebook or the IDN ccTLD Fast Track right now we should think about, you know, how that might be implemented whether it's just an implementation or whether it would incur a policy development process for both - well for GNSO and ccNSO.

And if that is, you know, if that is the case then our recommendations should indicate what the next steps for the councils should be. Any thoughts on that? I'd like to...

Bart Boswinkel: What do you mean by this, Edmon? I don't understand what you're saying.

Edmon Chung: Okay so out of all the potential policy sort of implementation recommendation there is one particular that we've identified that is possibly a policy which is for new IDN gTLDs or new IDN ccTLDs that their - as they implement their systems they should accept IDN TLDs.

What I mean by that is for example let's say I'll take dot - let's say dot (unintelligible) and applies for a Chinese TLD. The new policy might be that in their systems they should allow dot China as a contact address or as a - as a name server because right now that is not required so some of the TLD registries...

Bart Boswinkel: Okay now I understand. So put it in more general terms is that you would foresee that as part of the registration policy or an IDN TLD...

Edmon Chung: Right.

Bart Boswinkel: ...they should allow this.

Edmon Chung: Right.

Bart Boswinkel: Is that what you're - is that - is my interpretation correct?

Edmon Chung: Yeah, because those are the systems which - yeah, those are the systems which ICANN can sort of assert some direct influence.

Bart Boswinkel: And just to say - and this is when it becomes difficult and where you see a divergence between the ccNSO and the GNSO or at least between the scope of policy development processes. Under the ccNSO this would be impossible because it would be considered out of scope. This is a bit of - so either as a best practice it would be nice but there is - it's out of (unintelligible) of the ccNSO.

Edmon Chung: Well that's - I guess that's a good point of discussion. And the question is whether IDN ccTLDs should allow - let's use name servers as a example.

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah.

Edmon Chung: Of course it wouldn't be, you know, any - as I understand it, at least, correct me. But as I understand it any ccNSO sort of policy is somewhat - what's the best word - three are - ccTLDs can opt-in or opt-out of it. Is that...

Bart Boswinkel: No they set their own policies.

Edmon Chung: Right, right.

((Crosstalk))

Bart Boswinkel: So, say from - but that's probably something for further debate. But this - just as a forewarning that if you go down the path of say registration policy, that's why I asked, that's considered out of scope of the ccNSO and therefore out of ICANN.

Something else but that's particularly for the - and that's more the level of framing it. It would be, say, as a recommendation for IDN ccTLDs it would be completely acceptable. But a - running a PDP on this topic in the CC world is out of scope of the ccNSO.

Edmon Chung: Sure, I understand that. But if you look at - if you look at the IDN ccTLD Fast Track, for example, the registry - the applying registry is required to provide an IDN language table...

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah.

((Crosstalk))

Edmon Chung: ...policies for their second level registration. So that - unless you say even that is out of scope...

((Crosstalk))

Bart Boswinkel: Somebody in the ccNSO think this is out of scope, I can tell you that.

Edmon Chung: Right. Right but it is in that recommendation at this point.

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah.

Edmon Chung: So, yeah, I agree with what - actually I agree with you, Bart, in terms of that it could be out of scope. But I think, you know, it's still - because this seems to be a topic that most of the - at least most of the IDN ccTLDs that I've talked with are, you know, are interested and willing to, you know, push the general agenda...

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah and therefore...

((Crosstalk))

Bart Boswinkel: And say just as framing it - such a thing as a recommendation is saying...

Edmon Chung: Right.

((Crosstalk))

Bart Boswinkel: It's not running into a policy because, say, that's again...

Edmon Chung: Right.

Bart Boswinkel: ...one of the differences is - don't talk about policy but something as - that in order to improve universal acceptance blah, blah and then...

Edmon Chung: Right.

Bart Boswinkel: ...you make it specific for the ccNSO and the GNSO.

Edmon Chung: Right, yeah, I think that's a good point, yeah. And I agree. And I get what you're saying now, yeah. Okay so with that I, you know, we're at the top of the hour. And I guess we've identified a few things in terms of following up with on this - on the universal acceptance of IDN TLDs.

And, Chris, thank you for volunteering and taking the discussion of security concerns, which is leading to possible non-implementation of IDN TLDs. And we'll get - we'll further that discussion on the list. And I'll send a note in terms of the - yeah, the comment - summary of comments and also - and our next meeting will be two weeks from now and we'll - I guess I'll try to invite Dennis along as well.

Dennis is still involved in the VIP project if I'm not mistaken...

Bart Boswinkel: That's my understanding as well.

Edmon Chung: Okay so then - or the team from the VIP to try to attend. And, Bart, if you could help invite Nadia for the...

Bart Boswinkel: Yes I will.

Edmon Chung: ...next. And also ask her whether we might need to adjust the time a little bit.

Bart Boswinkel: Yeah, I will.

Edmon Chung: Okay. With that anything else people want to bring up? If not thank you everyone for joining. Thank you for your time.

Bart Boswinkel: Thank you. Bye.

Jian Zhang: Bye.

((Crosstalk))

Chris Dillon: Bye.

Jian Zhang: Bye.

Gisella Gruber-White: Thank you, everyone. Thank you, (Ricardo). (Unintelligible). Enjoy the rest of your day.

END