GNSO Council Adobe Chat Transcript 13 October 2016

Marika Konings: (10/12/2016 23:48) Welcome to the GNSO Council meeting of 13 October 2016

Paul McGrady: (10/13/2016 13:33) Just checking on the timing -want to be sure I got the time zone correct. Will be back in 28 minutes. Good morning!

Glen de Saint Gery: (13:40) Good Morning Paul! see you in 20 minutes!

Heather Forrest: (13:48) Hello Glen! Hello Nathalie!

Nathalie Peregrine: (13:49) Welcome Heather!

Glen de Saint Gery: (13:51) welcome Marika and Heather

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (13:53) Glen

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (13:53) I will give you my hotel telephone number

Stefania Milan: (13:53) Hello everyone! Glen, I just dropped you an email with a phone number for today's call. could you kindly communicate it to the relevant people? THANKS MUCH

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (13:53) I´m abroad and canpt acept the roaming charges for 2 hours

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (13:53) :(

Nathalie Peregrine: (13:54) Hi carlos,please provide the hote phone number

James Bladel: (13:54) Morning (afternoon, evening) everyone!

Julf Helsingius: (13:55) Good afternoon!

James Bladel: (13:55) Susan, I hope you have some coffee!

Stefania Milan: (13:55) @Nathalie I also have a new number. I was just called in my regular number but I am abroad

Nathalie Peregrine: (13:55) @ Stefania, we will dial out to you in a few mins

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (13:55) +595216177000

Stefania Milan: (13:55) Afternoon everone!

Stefania Milan: (13:55) @Nathalie thanks much!!

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (13:55) and then room 401 or give my name please

Nathalie Peregrine: (13:55) oh can you private message me the new one Stefania?

Marika Konings: (13:55) Please remember to mute when not speaking - thanks.

Nathalie Peregrine: (13:55) @ Carlos, noted

Amr Elsadr: (13:56) Hi all.

Stefania Milan: (13:57) @Nathalie put it on chat with hosts

James Bladel: (13:58) Good morning, Amr.

Nathalie Peregrine: (13:58) thanks Stefania

James Bladel: (13:59) Good morning, Carlos, Paul, Donna.

Mary Wong: (14:00) Welcome all, and WELCOME DARCY!

Philip Corwin: (14:00) Morning all. In a fog in DC...literally

Darcy Southwell: (14:00) Helllo!

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (14:00) Thank you Nathalie, I have a phone line now.

Nathalie Peregrine: (14:00) excellent!

James Bladel: (14:01) Good morning Wolf-Ulrich, Steve & phil.

Amr Elsadr: (14:01) Stephanie's having problems with the AC room, and is dialling in to the bridge.

Marilia Maciel: (14:02) Hello everyone

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (14:02) Good afternoon all!

Nathalie Peregrine: (14:02) @ Amr, we're dialing out to Stefania

Stefania Milan: (14:03) @Nathalie for some reasons I see calls but not prompted to reply

Amr Elsadr: (14:03) I was referring to Stephanie, not Stefania. :)

Nathalie Peregrine: (14:03) Apologies Ams

Nathalie Peregrine: (14:03) Amr

Amr Elsadr: (14:03) ;-)

James Bladel: (14:03) There's an echo on the line. Please mute whne not speaking.

Stefania Milan: (14:05) here

Stefania Milan: (14:05) Stefania is here

Volker Greimnn - RrSG: (14:05) did something beside the address change? this room is very weirdly formatted

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:06) Volker, Firefox with Adobe Connect add-in makes the room looks the familiar way for me.

Amr Elsadr: (14:06) Main issue I've been having is trying to log in as a host by mistake. The default seems to have changed from guest to host. Have to shut down the browser to log in correctly. :)

Marika Konings: (14:06) @Volker - it should look identical. What is different for you?

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:09) I'm okay with the changes James

Volker 2: (14:10) it looks like it is formatted for a much bigger screen

Volker 2: (14:10) the text is tiny

Volker 2: (14:10) Rubens, I am on Firefox

Marika Konings: (14:11) Note that the agenda on the right side of the AC room has been updated accordingly.

Berry Cobb: (14:11) CWG & CCWG-WS1 are marked as completed and will be removed from the Implementation section of the project list on the next version.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:11) Volker, on Firefox you can use either their in-browser client or the AC add-in. I'm using the later.

Volker 2: (14:12) thx, will try that

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:13) thanks Mary

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:14) I second the motion.

Heather Forrest: (14:19) +1 Donna - very helpful question, thank you

Stephanie Perrin: (14:19) test

Nathalie Peregrine: (14:20) @ Stephnie, received

Mary Wong: (14:20) What Becky is saying - that was explicitly inserted into the Final Framework after some community comment on this issue. So now there is an express statement to that effect in the Framework.

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:21) Thanks Becky and John

Becky Burr: (14:22) not new in ccNSO land

Mary Wong: (14:22) There have been other CWGs in the past

Mary Wong: (14:22) IDNs

Mary Wong: (14:22) DSSA (security and stability)

Stephanie Perrin: (14:23) test

Stephanie Perrin: (14:23) Delay on chat is over ten minutes,

Mary Wong: (14:23) And possibly up next - Auction Proceeds

Stephanie Perrin: (14:23) But that one arrived immediately. go figure

Nathalie Peregrine: (14:23) @ Stephanie, I know Adobe is looking into this,.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:24) Stephanie: I typed this at 12:24 UTC.

Becky Burr: (14:24) for example, the Framework of Interpretation on ccTLD delegation/revocation, and transfer was a cross community working group *(with the GAC) to provide aides to interpret existing policy - RFC 1591, and to identify areas where policy needs to be developed

Stephanie Perrin: (14:24) Thanks and sorry to keep complaining. I have tried everything.

Stephanie Perrin: (14:24) Some don't make it, can't figure out why

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:26) Phil, I believe that would be non-biding on contracted parties.

James Bladel: (14:26) (lowering my hand, as my questions(s) were raised by Phil & Donna).

Mary Wong: (14:26) All SO/ACs are asked to weigh in on whether to have a new CCWG - as John says, in that case the GNSO would have a very strong view that it would put to all the other groups.

Amr Elsadr: (14:26) @Rubens: +1.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:26) So the issue is whether ICANN follows it even though not being GNSO Policy.

Mary Wong: (14:27) @Rubens, yes - a CCWG cannot develop Consensus Policy

James Bladel: (14:27) +1 Rubens.

Amr Elsadr: (14:27) @Rubens: Yes, and I hope that doesn't become an issue in the future.

James Bladel: (14:28) If the Board adopts the CCWG, it could initiate a PDP as part of implementing CCWG recommendations?

Amr Elsadr: (14:28) I recall a proposal from the GAC and ALAC being mentioned during the Council wrapup session in Marrakech. I don't remember the topic right now.

Mary Wong: (14:29) @James, not all CCWG recs would go to the Board - this would have to be in the Charter - but if it did, then presumably yes.

Amr Elsadr: (14:29) @James: That is also a possibility, but they would need to initiate a PDP by requesting an issues report regardless of a CCWG report.

Mary Wong: (14:31) @Wolf-Ulrich, yes, it would apply immediately once adopted by both Councils, but of course it cannot be binding.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (14:31) ok, understood. Thanks Becky and Mary

Amr Elsadr: (14:33) @Paul: Apologies..., but I'm not sure I understand the problem with the voting structure. Could you please clarify?

Mary Wong: (14:33) @Paul, that is also specifically noted in the Framework - these last two giant CWG/CCWGs are the exception, not the norm.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:34) Amr, that was just Paul's opening salvo... ;-)

Amr Elsadr: (14:35) I'll keep my head down then. :)

Paul McGrady - IPC: (14:38) Thank you both

Amr Elsadr: (14:38) :)

Keith Drazek (RySG): (14:38) Apologies for my tardiness. I have joined the call.

James Bladel: (14:39) Morning Keith

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:39) Welcome Keith

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:39) Thanks to Becky and John

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (14:40) and "aye" for T. Harris

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:40) I second the motion.

Steve DelBianco [BC]: (14:41) THe Minority Report is just a page and a half -- not extensive

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:42) On the minority report, I have to take exception with the idea of the Council being power hungry. Considering most of CPH and CSG councillors are directed in specific directions to vote, there is not such thing for those councillors; all of them will vote as their SGs/Cs tell them to.

Amr Elsadr: (14:43) @Rubens: Does that make NCSG Councillors the exception? :)

Stephanie Perrin: (14:43) test

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (14:44) Amr, that would make, but considering NCSG is not complaining, I take that as being comfortable with the outcome.

Steve DelBianco [BC]: (14:44) The DT recommendations are summarized on pages 1-2 of the DT Final Report

Donna Austin, RySG: (14:46) I was unaware of the minority report until now.

Amr Elsadr: (14:46) @Heather: Agree that we need more time to discuss this with our SGs/Cs.

James Bladel: (14:47) +1 Heather & Amr.

Stephanie Perrin: (14:47) Agree with Heather

David Cake: (14:47) Heather, no need to apologise for time pressure concerns.

Mary Wong: (14:47) Note that the deadline of 30 Sept for the DT was set by the Council in Helsinki - before we were entirely clear on what was needed to get the new Bylaws and EC started.

Heather Forrest: (14:47) I just feel like a broken record - every meeting we're asked to decide something we haven't had suficient time to consider

Susan Kawaguchi: (14:48) +1 Heather

Mary Wong: (14:51) @Paul, that is why the Council set the deadline at 30 Sept - at the very least, the GNSO would be able to show that it has planned for Bylaws implementation.

James Bladel: (14:52) Please mute when not speaking. Thx.

Amr Elsadr: (14:54) Was dropped off the call just now.

Stephanie Perrin: (14:54) 08:54.24

Nathalie Peregrine: (14:54) phoning you back

James Bladel: (14:54) @Amr - ackonwledged.

Paul McGrady - IPC: (14:54) Would like to respond to WU

Nathalie Peregrine: (14:55) Amr is back on the audio bridge

Amr Elsadr: (14:56) No. I'm not. Nobody dialled out to me.

Amr Elsadr: (14:56) Sorry. Will try to use AC audio.

Nathalie Peregrine: (14:56) dialing out again

Amr Elsadr: (14:57) Farzi and Matt aren't Councillors. :)

Amr Elsadr: (14:57) Thanks Nathalie.

Amr Elsadr: (14:58) Back on now.

James Bladel: (14:58) Thanks, Amr. You're back in the Q.

Marika Konings: (14:58) As a reminder, A "GNSO Supermajority" shall mean: (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the Council members of each House, or (B) three-fourths (3/4) of the Council members of one House and a majority of the Council members of the other House.

Amr Elsadr: (14:58) Thanks James.

Steve DelBianco [BC]: (15:01) Our DT did have 5 members from Council.   But Amr is right, we didn't have a designated Council Liaison

Paul McGrady - IPC: (15:01) Thanks Amr. Like the "Super CCWG" problem discussed earlier, let's chalk this one up to the urgency related to the IANA contract expiration.

Amr Elsadr: (15:01) @Steve: Just Ed and myself, as well as Darcy, who is new. :)

Amr Elsadr: (15:02) Oh..., and WUK. Sorry.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (15:03) Agree with deferring.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:03) woops

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:03) lost my phone bridge

Nathalie Peregrine: (15:04) dialing you back

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:05) txs

Steve Chan: (15:06) @James: Phil, Carlos, James, Keith, Stefania

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (15:06) Don't we introduce the motion first?

Amr Elsadr: (15:07) @Carlos: Happens to me all the time. :)

Marika Konings: (15:08) The motion was submitted after the deadline

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:08) Yes

Keith Drazek (RySG): (15:08) I support the suggestion that we take a bit more time to review/iterate.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:08) Steve and I were on LA Time and missed the UTC time

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:09) we should move the deadlines to LAX time

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:09) Now that the Corporation is in LA oficially

Marika Konings: (15:09) The motion was intended as a placeholder in case the Council wanted to vote, but there is procedurally no requirement to have a vote on a letter that is sent.

Marika Konings: (15:09) as Paul said :-)

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:09) txs Marika

Keith Drazek (RySG): (15:09) Agree with Paul and thanks to Marika.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:10) Agree with Paul

Heather Forrest: (15:10) +1 Paul

Amr Elsadr: (15:11) If we need to vote on this, we could do so via email.

Amr Elsadr: (15:11) Or what James said. :)

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (15:11) If nobody asks for a vote, we don't vote and just send it.

Heather Forrest: (15:11) no objections

Paul McGrady - IPC: (15:16) What is scheduled for Day 0 (Wednesday)? I thought there were some F2F meetings that day (perhaps WS2 meetings)?

Mary Wong: (15:16) @Paul, yes - so no official GNSO scehdule as such.

Paul McGrady - IPC: (15:16) Thanks Mary!

Amr Elsadr: (15:16) Indeed. Thanks Glen.

Heather Forrest: (15:16) Any idea when we can anticipate a next version to be publicly available?

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (15:17) Just make sure the final schedule has GAC in a tent.

Glen de Saint Gery: (15:17) Heather the public schedule should be coming out in next couple of days

Heather Forrest: (15:17) Thank you Glen!

Julie Hedlund: (15:18) @James: The SSAC will provide an update of its activities in a public session in Hyderabad and will post slides in advance of ICANN57.

Julie Hedlund: (15:18) Staff is happy to take back questions to the SSAC too.

James Bladel: (15:18) Thanks, Julie. This is still a call for speicfic questions.

Heather Forrest: (15:18) Excellent point about abbreviated weekend updates, Donna.

Julie Hedlund: (15:19) @James: Understood and I'll be happy to take back any questions.

Mary Wong: (15:19) @Donna, staff will work with all the WG chairs on this

Marika Konings: (15:20) it would also be helpful if the Council would identify specific questions for PDP WGs - e.g. what challenges are you facing, when can we expect your Initial Report, etc.

Heather Forrest: (15:20) Let's put out the invites ASAP and use that as an opportunity to communicate our new expecations re updates

Marika Konings: (15:20) so we could consider a template that each PDP WG is expected to complete with key info?

James Bladel: (15:21) Good idea, Heather.

Marika Konings: (15:21) so that the updates are focused and provide the Council with the info it needs to fulfil its role as manager of the PDP

Glen de Saint Gery: (15:22) Wolf-Ulrich there may be a chance for a change

Darcy Southwell: (15:22) My apologies - I have to leave the AC but will remain on the phone line.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (15:22) There may be thanks, Glen

Nathalie Peregrine: (15:23) Thanks Darcy

Glen de Saint Gery: (15:24) agreed James!

Philip Corwin: (15:24) I'm already exhausted just reviewing the Hyderabad schedule ;-)

Glen de Saint Gery: (15:24) no, no there is good team with me!

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (15:26) I'm still waiting for my visa...

Glen de Saint Gery: (15:26) So am I WolfUlrich!

Philip Corwin: (15:27) Me too...Indian Embassy in DC has had my passport for a week already

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:27) My passport is in Panama waiting for the visa as well......

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:28) Hope it does not get ZIKA....

James Bladel: (15:28) I understand it takes much longer if your passport & country of resident are different.   Seems to be a common situation in ICANN-land.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:28) @James it is exactly my case

Donna Austin, RySG: (15:28) and mine too Carlos

Mary Wong: (15:28) Me as well :(

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (15:29) I was without my passport for only 25 hours.

Stefania Milan: (15:30) me too. and so far i haven't been able to part from it

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:30) @Rubens it is the advantage of the BRICS only

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (15:30) I think living in a bureaucractic country gives an advantage in knowing how to navigate other countries bureacrucies.

Marika Konings: (15:31) @Carlos - I got my passport back from Panama after a week or so.

Stefania Milan: (15:31) @rubens not if you don't get the language

Amr Elsadr: (15:31) @Mason: On the first slide, there was mention on how to handle outstanding issues?

Amr Elsadr: (15:32) @James: +1

Mason Cole: (15:34) Amr, do you mean this: Further strengthen the contacts between the leadership teams of the GNSO Council and the GAC by providing for periodic conference calls and meetings where pressing issues could be debated.

Marika Konings: (15:35) @Amr - the recommendations in the report are intended to address the outstanding issues that were identified.

Donna Austin, RySG: (15:35) I think Rec 6 is sensible and one that I hope will remove the situation we are currently in with the IGO issue where the Board is trying to negotiate a solution to resolve conflict between GAC advice and GNSO Recommendations.

Marika Konings: (15:35) Do note that some of these recommendations are displayed in abbreviated format to fit the slides, so please review the report for the full details.

Amr Elsadr: (15:36) Audio is breaking up on my end. :(

Amr Elsadr: (15:37) Better now.

James Bladel: (15:37) Same here. Marika breaks up occasionallly.

Amr Elsadr: (15:37) OK. Thanks Marika.

Amr Elsadr: (15:38) Thanks.

Marika Konings: (15:38) Apologies for that - Costa Rican connectivity is not the best :-(

Marika Konings: (15:38) I can switch to AC audio to see if that works better

Donna Austin, RySG: (15:39) We need to accept (maybe too strong a word) that the GAC does have real challenges in participating in PDPs as a whole; however, having individual governments involved in PDPs is advantageous to the GAC understanding the issues better.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:39) Hey, no compalins against CR connectivity here. Complain to your ISP please. :)))))

James Bladel: (15:39) +1 Susan.

Marika Konings: (15:39) on rec #4 - I think it is just a question to specifically sharing the public comment review tool with the GAC, not more than that.

Amr Elsadr: (15:40) I think the concern is more with rec#5.

Amr Elsadr: (15:40) Agree with Susan, but will go over the report before weighing in further.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:41) I want to respond to susan

James Bladel: (15:41) Audio is much better!

Amr Elsadr: (15:42) On rec#4, I believe this concerns the issue scoping phase. During the early days of the PDP, a WG would reach out to SOs and ACs anyway. My understanding is that the teh GAC would also be included, and their input would be reviewed in a public comment review tool along with everybody else.

James Bladel: (15:42) Carlos - Ok. I had closed the Q but will give you the last spot.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:42) txs. will keep it short

Donna Austin, RySG: (15:42) I don't think we can lump the GAC in with ALAC etc. given the GAC's ability to provide advice to the Board. The more we can work with the GAC and gain mutual understanding of issues, the better position we will be in to hopefully not have the GAC provided advice to the Board that derails PDP recommendations.

Amr Elsadr: (15:43) If the GAC cannot develop consensus input to an issues report, it should have time to develop a comment in time for the early engagement PDP WGs conduct.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:43) +1 Donna

James Bladel: (15:43) Agree Donna, but other ACs also want the Board to give deference to their advice, simlar ot GAC.

Marika Konings: (15:44) @Phil - I understand the challenges in relation to IGOs and the curative rights WG, but if I recall well, that PDP does preceed the early engagement mechanisms that have now been put in place.

Marika Konings: (15:44) which of course did not prevent IGOs and GAC to participate in the PDP....

Donna Austin, RySG: (15:44) @Phil, the IGO issue is an excellent example of how things should not work. the Board does need to take some responsibility here and remove themselves from being part of the solution.

Susan Kawaguchi: (15:45) +1 Donna

Marika Konings: (15:46) GAC also struggles to find volunteers that are able to commit to the workload of a PDP WG

James Bladel: (15:46) Agree with Heather.

Amr Elsadr: (15:47) @Marika: True, and not to mention the process for them to develop consensus recommendations for PDP WGs.

Philip Corwin: (15:47) @Marika--my concerns do not relate to the GAC/IGO talks with the Board on preventative measures, which were the subject of a prior PDP, but in the CRP issue being brought into those discussions when it is the subject of an ongoing PDP

Marika Konings: (15:48) Understood Phil

Donna Austin, RySG: (15:48) I do believe that the GAC is only part of the problem: the Board's reluctance to reject GAC advice means that the GAC will continue to use that mechanism as the default.

Mary Wong: (15:48) @Phil and all - undestand completely, but it is difficult to separate the curative from the preventative as a full picture (though in terms of process,yes, two separate procedures).

David Tait: (15:50) @James, no problem!

Herb Waye Ombuds: (15:51) No problem, still happy to lurk in the background

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:52) sorry, old hand

Amr Elsadr: (15:53) Agree with Phil's first request.

Mary Wong: (15:54) @Phil, yes, I believe that is the intent (from the Board).

Amr Elsadr: (15:55) Agree with Phil's second request too.

James Bladel: (15:56) And Contracted Parties are not obligated to abide by such proposals,

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: (15:56) +1 Phil

Amr Elsadr: (15:56) Thanks Phil. Agree with all requests.

Keith Drazek (RySG): (15:56) Thanks Phil, agreed.

Amr Elsadr: (15:57) And thanks to you, Phil, and the IGO CRP PDP WG for your work and patience.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (15:57) James, the problem is that for now contracted parties have their hands tied in temporary protections.

Stephanie Perrin: (15:57) +1

Amr Elsadr: (15:58) Agree with Donna. This letter affects the work of two PDPs, and we should be clear in our response to actions/process regarding both.

Philip Corwin: (15:59) @Donna--what you are decribing would be a GAC attempt to front run the work of the CRP WG before the policy process has been completed

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (16:02) sorry, I have to move to another call. Thanks all, see you in Hyderabad

Philip Corwin: (16:02) I definitely want to be aprt of the group drafting Council response

Philip Corwin: (16:02) part

Amr Elsadr: (16:02) Thanks all. Bye.

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (16:02) Phil, you definitively should be.

Herb Waye Ombuds: (16:02) No problem thanks for accepting my request

James Bladel: (16:02) Thanks all!

Julf Helsingius: (16:02) Thank you all!

Rubens Kuhl (RySG): (16:02) Bye all!