5.1 Red Cross Red Crescent Movement (RCRC) Recommendations

#	Recommendation	Level of Support
	o **Scope 1 Identifiers**: "Red Cross", "Red Crescent", "Red Lion and Sun" and "Red Crystal" (Language: UN6) o **Scope 2 Identifiers**: 189 recognized National Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies; International Committee of the Red Cross;	
1	**Top-Level** protections of <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 1 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation"	Consensus
2	**Top-Level** protections of <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation"	Divergence
3	**Top-Level** protections of <u>Exact Match, Acronym</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation"	Divergence
4	For *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* identifiers, if placed in the Applicant Guidebook as ineligible for delegation at the **Top-Leve**l, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Top-Level	Consensus
5	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 1 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement	Consensus
6	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement	Divergence
7	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Acronym</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement	Divergence
8	For *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* identifiers, if placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the **Second-Level**	Consensus
9	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse (TMCH)**	Consensus
10	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Acronym</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse**	Consensus
11	*Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* Scope 2 identifiers, if added to the TMCH, allowed to participate in <u>90 Day Claims Notification</u> phase of each new gTLD launch for **Second-Level** registrations	Consensus

5.2 International Olympic Committee (IOC) Recommendations

#	Recommendation	Level of Support
	o **Scope 1 Identifiers**: olympic, olympiad (Language: UN6, + German, Greek, and Korean)**	
1	**Top-Level** protections of <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Olympic Committee* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation"	Consensus
2	For *International Olympic Committee* Identifiers, if placed in the Applicant Guidebook as ineligible for delegation at the **Top-Level**, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Top-Level	Consensus
3	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Olympic Committee* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement	Consensus
4	For *International Olympic Committee* identifiers, if placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the **Second-Level**	Consensus

5.3 International Governmental Organization (IGO) Recommendations

#	Recommendation	Level of Support
	o Scope 1 Identifiers: GAC List[1] (22 March 2013) - Full Name (Language: Up to two languages) o **Scope 2 Identifiers**: GAC List (22 March 2013) - Acronym (Language: Up to two languages)	
1	**Top-Level** protections of <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Governmental Organizations* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation"	Consensus
2	**Top-Level** protections of <u>Exact Match, Acronym</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Governmental Organizations* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation"	Divergence
3	For *International Governmental Organizations* Identifiers, if placed in the Applicant Guidebook as ineligible for delegation at the **Top-Level**, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the **Top-Level**	Consensus
4	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Governmental Organizations* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement	Consensus
5	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Acronym</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Governmental Organizations* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement	Divergence
6	For *International Governmental Organizations* identifiers, if placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the **Second-Level**	Consensus
7	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Acronym</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Governmental Organizations* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse**	Strong Support but Significant Opposition
8	*International Governmental Organizations* Scope 2 identifiers, if added to the TMCH, allowed to participate in <u>90 Day Claims Notification</u> phase of each new gTLD launch for **Second-Level** registrations**	Consensus

5.4 International Non-Governmental Organizations (INGO) Recommendations

#	Recommendation	Level of Support
	o **Scope 1 Identifiers**: ECOSOC List (General Consultative Status) (Language: English only) o **Scope 2 Identifiers**: ECOSOC List (Special Consultative Status) (Language: English only) ***Note, this list of Identifiers are INGOs other than the RCRC and IOC See http://csonet.org/content/documents/E2011INF4.pdf	
1	**Top-Level** protections of <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation"	Consensus
2	**Top-Level** protections of <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation"	Divergence
3	For *International Non-Governmental Organizations* Identifiers, if placed in the Applicant Guidebook as ineligible for delegation at the **Top-Level**, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Top-Level	Consensus
4	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement	Divergence
5	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement	Divergence
6	For *International Non-Governmental Organizations* identifiers, if placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the **Second-Level**	Consensus
7	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Full Name</u> Scope 1 (unless otherwise reserve protected) & Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse (TMCH)	Consensus
8	**Second-Level** protections of only <u>Exact Match, Acronym</u> Scope 1 (unless otherwise protected) & Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse	Divergence
9	*International Non-Governmental Organizations* Scope 1 (unless otherwise protected) & Scope 2 identifiers, if added to the TMCH, allowed to participate in <u>90 Day Claims Notification</u> phase of each new gTLD launch for **Second-Level** registrations	Consensus

General Recommendations

#	Recommendation	Level of Support
1	The WG recommends that the respective policies are amended so that curative rights of the UDRP and URS can be used by those organizations that are granted protections based on their identified designations.	Consensus
2	IGO-INGO organizations be granted a fee waiver (or funding) for objections filed against applied-for gTLDs at the Top-Level	Divergence
3	IGO-INGOs allowed to participate in Sunrise phase of each new gTLD launch	Strong Support but Significant Opposition
4	Fee waivers or reduced pricing (or limited subsidies) for registering into the Trademark Clearinghouse the identifiers of IGO-INGO organizations	Divergence
5	IGO-INGOs allowed to participate in permanent Claims Notification[1] of each gTLD launch	Divergence
6	Fee waivers or reduced pricing for IGO-INGOs filing a URS or UDRP action	Divergence