Update on possible studies of WHOIS



Liz Gasster Senior Policy Counselor 21 June 2008

- On 31 October 2007 the GNSO Council decided that a comprehensive and objective understanding of key facts about WHOIS will benefit future GNSO policy development, and initiated steps to determine what studies should be done.
- Public comments were sought in February, 25 suggestions were received.
- Suggestions were analyzed and categorized by staff.

Study suggestions fell into 7 categories:

- 1. WHOIS misuse
- 2. Compliance with data protection laws and the RAA
- 3. Availability of privacy services
- 4. Demand and motivation for use of privacy services
- 5. Impact of WHOIS data protection on crime and abuse
- 6. Proxy registrar compliance with law enforcement and dispute resolution requests
- 7. WHOIS data accuracy



- On 27 March the Council formed a group to review the suggestions and recommend what studies should be done, if any
 - 16 individuals participated + staff
- On 16 April the GAC published a detailed set of recommendations for further studies of WHOIS.
- The group discussed at length the merits of proceeding with future studies of WHOIS.





- The group agreed that studies should only be conducted if the resulting information advances public policy goals, but disagreed about whether studies should be conducted at this time.
- The GNSO study group report of 22 May reflects these two positions.
- The GNSO Council will discuss this issue and decide next steps during the Paris meeting.





- Some individuals and groups oppose studies. In their view further study (and resulting data) will not persuade stakeholders to modify existing positions.
 - Consensus on the majority of issues does not exist
 - There is no consensus that privacy must be protected as a fundamental principle
 - Even well-engineered studies with strong conclusions will not break the existing logjam
 - If the GNSO concludes that further studies are worthwhile, then any studies should be kept narrow, completed within reasonable timeframes and scoped for overall feasibility in advance.





- Other participants support WHOIS studies. Based on input from the GAC and public comments, they recommend studies in three initial areas:
 - 1. Availability of privacy services
 - 2. Demand and motivation for use of privacy services
 - 3. A study related to misuse of WHOIS and port 43
- This group notes the emphasis the GAC has placed on studies related to "proxy or privacy services" and emphasizes that knowing more facts about the availability, take-up and operation of these services could aid future policy development.



Study Group Participants:



- James Bladel
- Beau Brendler
- Steve Del Bianco
- Lee Eulgen
- Robin Gross
- Tony Harris
- Jordi Iparraguirre
- Norbert Klein

- David Maher
- Steve Metalitz
- Tim Ruiz
- Wendy Seltzer
- Paul Stahura
- Ken Stubbs
- Stéphane Van Gelder
- Danny Younger





Thank You

